UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,429	05/05/2004	EAKKAPONG POWPONG		3428
7590 08/23/2007 Mr.EAKKAPONG POWPONG			EXAMINER	
292/1 ASADANG Rd. Tumbon			SIM, YONG H	
	NAI MUANG Amphur MUANG NAKORNRATCHASIMA, 30000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THAILAND			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/709,429	POWPONG, EAKKAPONG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yong Sim	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>01 June 2007</u> .				
,	, —				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are pending in the applic					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iurawn nom consideration.				
6) Claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· •	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application			

Oath/Declaration

Applicant has failed to provide a properly signed oath/declaration.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26 - 33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Re claim 26, Applicant argues that Schena includes a safety optical switch, which is operated by sensing ambient light through a surface of the housing, which is different from being able to turn on and off a massage feature when a person's hand is present.

However, Examiner respectfully argues that claim 26 reciting "a light sensor which senses the presence or absence of a user's hand, said light sensor providing a signal which turns on or off said massage mechanism depending on a presence or absence of said user's hand" in lines 7 – 10 is not different from Schena since the optical sensor detecting a presence of a user's hand as taught by Schena turns a mechanism feature of a mouse on or off depending on the presence of a user's hand in Col. 29, lines 20 – 26.

Therefore, the idea of incorporating the optical switch which turns on or off depending on the presence of a user's hand into the mouse having a massage feature as taught by Muir would read on claim 26. Thereby the argument is moot.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

2. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 26 - 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muir (US 6,599,259 B2) in view of Schena et al. (Hereinafter "Schena" US 6,100,874), and further in view of Chen et al. (Hereinafter "Chen" US 2005/0105262A1).

Re claim 26, Muir teaches a computer mouse (30 "input device" Fig. 2) comprising:

a housing (See Fig. 2);

a massage mechanism (See Figs. 3a – 3e; 20 "massaging element") which has at least one button ((12 "on/off switch (button)" Fig. 2) that protrudes out from said housing and a cover (24 "a pliable sheet or film" Fig. 2).

But does not expressly disclose a cover which is attachable to and removable from said housing which, when assembled to said housing, covers said massage mechanism.

However, Chen teaches a computer mouse including an easily exchangeable (Chen: Para 0017) holding sheath/cover fitted onto the mouse, which has protection portion and an auxiliary holding portion that is connected over the upper housing of the mouse to cover the portion of the upper housing (Chen: Para 0020 – 0021. Figs. 1 – 3.).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Muir and Chen, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of having a cover fitted onto the mouse to cover up a portion of the housing as taught by Chen into the mouse having a massage mechanism as taught by Muir to obtain a mouse with a massage mechanism comprising a sheath/cover fitted onto the mouse to cover the upper housing of the mouse to cover the massage mechanism to provide a degree of decorative variety and ergonomics to the mouse (Chen: Para 0007)

The combined teachings of Muir and Chen teach a computer mouse comprising a housing, a massage mechanism and a cover, which is attachable to and removable from the housing.

But does not expressly teach a light sensor positioned within said housing which senses the presence or absence of a user's hand or said cover covering said light sensor, and light sensor providing a signal which turns on or off said massage mechanism depending on a presence of said user's hand.

However, Schena teaches a force feedback mouse which includes an optical switch/light switch, which is operated by sensing ambient light through a surface of the

Art Unit: 2629

housing to turn on or off a mechanism of the mouse depending on the presence of the user's hand (Schena: light sensing system. Col. 29, lines 19 - 26.).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Muir, Chen and Schena, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the mouse with a light sensing switch as taught by Schena to the mouse as taught by Muir and Chen to obtain a mouse with a massaging element which is turned on or off the activated switch depending on the presence of a user's hand to prevent the user from injury due to unexpected movement of the mouse. (Schena: Col. 29, lines 5 - 10).

Re claim 27, Muir teaches the computer mouse wherein the level of massage provided by said massage mechanism of said mouse is adjustable (Muir: 14 "rheostat," Fig. 2. Col. 4 lines 10 – 13; "rheostat may be configured to control one or more massage stimulus characteristic/level.).

Re claim 28, Muir teaches the computer mouse wherein said massage mechanism includes a plurality of buttons (24 "contact member" Fig. 3d. See Fig. 3d the contact members are in the form of buttons) each of which is spring biased (Col. 3, line 53; "springs (as in Fig. 3d) against a rotatable surface (Col. 3, line 51; "wheels/rotatable surface (as in Fig. 3d)") which includes an inclined portion (See Fig. 3d. The wheel below the buttons comprises "inclined portions" between each button.

Re claim 29, the combined teachings of Muir, Chen and Schena teach the computer mouse with a light sensor, which is located on a convenient surface of a housing (Schena: Col. 29, lines 20 – 22).

But does not expressly disclose a sensor that is positioned in a center of said massage mechanism.

However, the courts have been held that a mere change of location of parts is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of having a light sensor in a center of said massage mechanism to accurately detect the presence of a user's hand.

Re claim 30, the combined teachings of Muir, Chen and Schena teach the computer mouse of claim 26, wherein said cover has at least a portion which projects under said housing (Schena: See Fig. 3. The cover has a rim which projects under the housing.).

Re claim 31, the combined teachings of Muir, Chen and Schena teach the computer mouse of claim 26, wherein said housing has a contour top and wherein said cover has a shape which matches said contour top (Schena: See Fig. 2. The sheath/cover matches the contour of the mouse.).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,429 Page 7

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muir, Chen and Schena as applied to claims 26 - 31 above, and further in view of Krolik (US 3,967,617).

Re claim 32, the combined teachings of Muir, Chen and Schena teach the computer mouse of claim 28, wherein said rotatable surface is driven by an electric motor (Muir: 22 "electric motor" Fig. 3d).

But does not expressly teach a double pole, double throw switch for activation by a user to reverse a direction of the electric motor.

However, Krolik teaches a massaging device comprising a reversing switch such as a double pole double throw switch to change the direction of massaging force (Krolik: Col. 12, lines 17 - 20).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Muir, Chen, Schena and Krolik, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of using a double pole double throw switch as taught by Krolik into the mouse of Muir, Chen and Schena to obtain a mouse with a massage mechanism comprising a double pole double throw switch to vary the direction of massaging force to give the user more thorough and complete coverage of the massaging surface.

5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muir, Chen, Schena and Krolik as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view Sugimoto et al. (Hereinafter "Sugimoto" US 4,495,560).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,429

Art Unit: 2629

Re claim 33, the combined teachings of Muir, Chen, Schena and Krolik teach the computer mouse of claim 32.

Page 8

But does not expressly disclose a Darlington transistor as a current multiplier to multiply the current needed to power said electric motor of said massage mechanism.

However, Sugimoto teaches a shoulder patting/massaging machine wherein an amplifying means including transistors in the Darlington form for amplifying the controlled electric signal from the control means into an electric current signal sufficient to drive a movable core or motor (Sugimoto: Col. 36, lines 34 – 45).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Muir, Chen, Schena, Krolik and Sugimoto, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of using a Darlington form transistors for providing sufficiently amplified/multiplied current to a motor as taught by Sugimoto into the mouse as taught by Muir, Chen, Schena and Krolik to obtain a mouse with a massage mechanism wherein the motor for the massage mechanism comprises a Darlington form transistors to provide sufficiently amplified current to the motor to provide a user with a satisfactory massaging sensation.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2629

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Sim whose telephone number is (571) 270-1189. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (Alternate Fridays off) 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,429 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8/14/2007 YHS

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Am Almed hory